202351315

The risk ratios for passive smoking can be surprisingly high (up to 2 or 3), as reported both by Correa et al and others. These risk ratios would be more consistent with those found for active smoking, particularly among women, if the active smoker is at greater risk also from his or her own passive smoke, again through the absorption of radioactivity on the smoke particles passively inhaled; also the relatively higher toxicity of the sidestream smoke might be important. These and other aspects (eg, the urban-rural difference in lung cancer risk from smoking) are more thoroughly discussed elsewhere to in the context of indoor radon daughters. Finally, in view of the long latency periods observed among miners acquiring lung cancer from radon daughter exposure, one might suggest that the children of smoking mothers obtain an early exposure to increased levels of radon daughters at home and that smoking later in life promotes the development of lung cancer.

Department of Occupational Medicine.
University Hospital.
S-S&I 25 Linknoine. Sweden

HANS BERGMAN OLAV AXELSON

## LUNG CANCER AND PASSIVE SMOKING

SIR,—I was surprised to read, in Professor Trichopoulos and colleagues' letter (Sept. 17, p. 677), a German study of passive smoking and lung cancer described as having yielded "positive" results. The paper cited contains only tentative conclusions based on poor data analysed by unacceptable methods.

I was also surprised that the findings from the Greek hospital study of passive smoking and lung cancer were almost identicall to those reported two years ago? despite a substantial increase in the numbers of cases and controls. In the 1981 report the relative risks of lung cancer for non-smoking women were 1, 1-8, 2-4, and 3-4 according to whether their husbands did not smoke, were exsmokers, or were current smokers of 1-20 or 21 or more cigarettes a day, the updated relative risks are 1, 1-9, 2-4, and 3-4; respectively.

In the 1981 paper the relative risks agreed exactly with the appropriate cross-product ratios calculated from the numbers of cases and controls in the relevant category for husbands' smoking. In the latest results, despite the method being apparently identical, there is a clear disagreement between the relative risks provided by Trichopoulos et al and those I calculate (see table):

RELATIVE RISK OF LUNG CANCER ACCORDING TO SMOKING HABITS OF HUSBAND

<b>Group</b>	Non-smokers	Ex-smokers	Cigareties per dây (current/smokers):	
			1-20	21+
RR (quoted) RR (calculated)	1-0 1-0	L-9: 1-9.	2-4	3-4. 2-5

Relative trisk # ratio of trisk of fune cancer; among women whose husbands belong to a particular; smoking caregory to that among women whose husbands are non-smokers.

My calculations suggest that the latest data do not show as clear an association between a woman's lung cancer risk with her husband's smoking habits as the earlier data did! Indeed, relative risks calculated from the additional data are 1, 2-0, 1-8; and 1-8 and do not show the dose-response relation seen earlier. This doubt, added

 Hiravama: T. Non-smoking wives of heavy smokers have a higherinish of lung cancer: a study from Japan. Br. Med. J. 1981. 282: 183+85. esso doubts about the histological evidence and the use of cases and controls from different-hospitals (limitations which Trichopoulos et al concede), prompts one to ask if the study really does add to the evidence implicating passive smoking as a factor in lung cancer.

Institute of Status etc.
University of Karisruhe,
D-7500 Karisruhe 1, West Germany

WOLF-DIETER HELLER

## POTASSIUM CHILORIDE SUPPLEMENTS

SIR,—As your Round the World correspondent predicted, I the US Food and Drug Administration advisory committee meeting of March 2 on the controversy of wax-matrix versus microencapsulated potassium chloride preparations proved inconclusive. A few points about this controversy are worth noting.

The study by McMahon et al, showing a favourable result for 'Micro-K' (A. H. Robins) in comparison with 'Slow-K' (Ciba-Geigy) was sponsored by Robins. The study by Patterson et al, showing no difference between micro-K and slow-K, was sponsored by Ciba-Geigy. Both studies have been confirmed by other studies sponsored by the respective company.

Ciba-Geigy, while denying that slow-K is more ulcerogenic than mucro-K, has bought from Alfred Benzon Ltd, Denmark, a licence for 'Kalinorm', a microencapsulated (pellet) preparation of KCl similar (or identical) to micro-K. It seems remarkable that Ciba-Geigy is planning to market this preparation when, according to Ciba-Geigy's US subsidiary, "Slow-K has an established clinical record unparalleled by any other solid K supplement".

It seems that, privately, Ciba-Gergy has concluded that kalinorm is as good as micro-K, and that it is better than slow-K, but they would presumably consider it scientifically incorrect to conclude that micro-K is better than slow-K.

Finally I would emphasise, as your RTW correspondent did, that doctors should "re-evaluate the decisive need for a potassium supplement and, if the indication is clear, prescribe it as a liquid". The findings of Patterson et al<sup>3</sup> clearly support this.

Furuilden 27:. S-43364 Partille: Sweden

OLLE HANSSON

\*\*This letter has been shown to Dr Burley, whose reply follows.—Ep. L.,

Sir,—One of the main reasons why slow-release formulations of potassium were developed was the unacceptability of liquidpotassium. Indeed, Patterson et al reported that KCP elixir was poorly tolerated in their trial, giving rise to abdominal pain and heartburn in 9 of the 15 volunteers (60%). Dr Hansson omits to mention this. The issue is therefore whether the risk/benefit ratio of 'Slow K' is acceptable. There are eighteen years of clinical experience with slow K in the UK, during which over 4.5 million patient-years of treatment has been prescribed: with 'Micro' K' formulations there is almost no clinical experience. Less than 50: cases of significant alimentary side-effects have been reported with slow K, and some of these were manifestly brought about by previous strictures or oesophageal obstruction due to cardiac enlargement. It would be hard to point to a comparable safety record with any other widely used drug. The fact that a company may be investigating or pursuing alternatives is an indication of interest and involvement in the area; and should not be interpreted as a loss of confidence in an existing product...

Ciba-Geigy, Pharmaceuticalis: Horsnam, West Sussex

DENIS BURLEY

<sup>9</sup> Trichopoulos D, Kalandidi A, Sparros L, MacMahon B. Lung cancer and pessive smoking. Int J Cancer. 1981; 27: 1-4.

<sup>10:</sup> Surgeon General, The health consequences of smoking: Cancer, Washington, DC, US Dept of Héalth, Education and Welfare, 1982, DHHS (PHS) 82~50179.

<sup>11.</sup> Stock SL. Passive smoking and lung cancer. Lincol 1982, v. 1014-15

<sup>12</sup> Axeison O. Room for a role for rodon in lung cancer causation. Med Hepoth tin press 13 Axeison O. Sundell L. Minning, lung cancer and smoking. Scient J. Work Enteron Health 1978, 4: 46-52.

Knoth, A., Bohn, H., Schmidt, F., Passistrauchen, als. Lungenkrebsursuche, bei Nichtraucherinnen, Mcd/Klim Prys. 1983, 78: 54-59.

Trichopoulos Di Kalàndidi Al Sparros L. MacManoni B. Lunc cancer: and ipassive smoking. The Tr Cancer 1981, 27: 1-4.

Anon Potassium suppléments and upper gastrointestinal tract. Lawre 1983). 1: 400 M&Mahon FG, Akdamar K, Ryan JR, Erian A. Upper gastrointestinal lésions after

porassium, chloride supplements, a controlled clinical trial Lianury 1982, ii. 1059-01

Patierson DJ, Weinstein GS: Jeffries GH. Endoscopic comparison of solid and liquid polassium chloride supplements. Lancet 1983. ii: 1077-78.